HOW DO WE GET THE R&D WE NEED, NOT THE R&D PEOPLE WANT TO DO?

Chris Pollock Aberystwyth University



SYNOPSIS

- Current Position (and how we got there)
- New Challenges for Funders & Providers
- Promoting the Voice of Producers:
 - Proposals
 - Recommendations
 - Next Steps
- Science, Evidence and Policy

WHAT DO WE NEED?

- Excellent basic science (science push)
- Smooth bi-directional flow of information and expertise to drive strategic and applied research and to generate options for basic research
- Effective mechanisms to use products of R&D within the industry (industry pull)
- Strategic overview that defines the broad "direction of travel" without constraining innovation

1970-1980, The Garden Of Eden?



Basic Research (AFRC)

Strategic Research (AFRC,MAFF, Industry)

Applied R &D, Development and Extension (ADAS, Levy Boards, Industry)

Outputs (more food, lower costs, more CAP support payments)

ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES

- Large increases in productivity across all sectors
- More labour-efficient
 enterprises
- Security of supply
- Improvement in quality
- Reductions in cost for consumers

- Only productivity targeted
- Significant environmental impact
- Resource use efficiency declined
- Profit moved away from primary producers
- Rural life changed markedly
- Political clout of farmers reduced
- Loss of public sympathy because of surpluses



THE R&D LANDSCAPE AT THE MILLENIUM



ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES

- Greater awareness of importance of "sustainability"
- More attention paid to whole systems and to cross-disciplinary research
- Increased emphasis on input efficiency
- "New Biology" provided
 new opportunities

- Funding reductions
- Decline in emphasis on science for precision management
- Fragmentation of extension services
- Levy Bodies increasingly emphasised short-term sectoral issues
- Broader RC remits led to loss of skills and of awareness of strategic issues by basic scientists

THE DRIVERS FOR CHANGE SINCE 2000

- Foresight:
 - Increased demand
 - Climate Change
 - Need to mitigate environmental damage
- Increased competition for land
- Legislative and consumer pressures
- "The Impact Agenda"
- New business opportunities

THE ROYAL SOCIETY TALKS ABOUT 'SUSTAINABLE INTENSIFICATION'

FARMERS AND LAND MANAGERS WILL DELIVER THIS, NOT POLITICIANS AND RESEARCHERS

WHAT CHANGES ARE NEEDED IN ORDER TO PROMOTE THIS GOAL?

DO WE NOW HAVE THE R&D BASE TO SUPPORT 21ST CENTURY AGRICULTURE?

- Priority area for BBSRC
- Relevant spend by NERC, EPSRC
- TSB now involved in commissioning strategic/enabling research
- AHDB formed

HOWEVER, WE NEED TO REPAIR AND RE-DIRECT THE R&D "PIPELINE"

ARE WE MAKING THE BEST USE OF EXISTING FUNDING?

Distribution of the annual spend on UK agricultural and related research by UK agencies. The total is ca £M386.



CHALLENGES

- Imbalance between basic, strategic and applied funding
- Basic funding and Funding Council support also about maintaining research excellence across a range of disciplines
- Lack of cohesion between different funders relating to objectives, timescales, deliverables and knowledge transfer.

ADDRESSING THE CHALLENGES

- Need for change widely recognised Post-Foresight
- A number of reviews, studies and reports emphasised the need to "reinforce the delivery pipeline"
- The Joint Commissioning Group was established in 2011 to develop a 'Producer View' of priorities

BRIEF

- To add value to and support existing activity by building on existing sector R&D strategies to develop an overarching strategy for primary food production by :-
- Identifying key cross-sector priorities
- Identifying the current impediments to achieving these
- Highlighting the industry's current 'Knowledge Gaps', 'Capacity Gaps' and 'Technology, Product & Service gaps'

The aim is to help the Industry meet the mid-century challenges identified by Foresight

WORKING METHODS

- A series of sectoral and cross-cutting workshops held during 2012, together with additional directed consultations
- The outputs were provided to an Editor who used them to develop a set of Key Priorities and Researchable Issues that were producerfocused, generic and long-term
- These were refined by discussion within the group and elsewhere
- There were also *Recommendations* and identification of *Next Steps* intended to facilitate delivery

RESEARCH PRIORITIES

- 1. Utilisation of modern technologies to improve the precision and efficiency of key agricultural management practices.
- 2. Apply modern genetic and breeding approaches to improve the quality, sustainability, resilience and profitability of crops and farm animals.
- 3. Use systems-based approaches to understand better and manage interactions between soil, water and crop /animal processes.
- 4. Develop integrated approaches to the effective management of crop and animal pests & diseases within farming systems.
- 5. Develop evidence-based approaches to value ecosystem service delivery by land users and incorporate these approaches into effective decision support systems at the enterprise or grouped enterprise level.
- 6. Extend the training and professional development of researchers, practitioners and advisors to promote delivery of the targets above.
- 7. Improve the use of social and economic science to promote development, uptake and use of sustainable, resilient and profitable agricultural practice that can deliver affordable, safe and high-quality products.

'BIG TICKET' ISSUES

- Importance of engineering solutions for precision agriculture
- Importance of systems-based approaches to minimising "footprint"
- Throughout the pipeline a need to "upskill" as well as deliver new knowledge and products
- Need to involve social and economic science to maximise impact

RECOMMENDATIONS TO IMPROVE DELIVERY

- Levy bodies must build on recent developments by basic and strategic funders to deliver effective research partnerships
- Levy bodies and other funders need to develop better understanding of each other's strategies
- Government Departments should continue to develop a common approach to promoting innovation within the land-based sector
- Funders of basic and strategic research should consider how to develop capacity in both new and existing key skills and how to deliver impact across the industry

WHAT NEXT?

- Consultation on the draft report until 31 Jan 2013; final report to be presented in Spring 2013 http://www.FeedingtheFuture.info/Consultation
- Emerging Findings to be used as basis for responses to BIS and to GO Science for their concurrent reviews
- Dialogue between 'Interested Parties' to be continued, focussing on the report recommendations

SCIENCE, EVIDENCE AND POLICY

DOES R&D PLAY AN APPROPRIATE ROLE IN FRAMING POLICY?

THE UK IS COMMITTED TO EVIDENCE-BASED POLICY

- Government departments commission independent R&D to inform policy implementation and to monitor change
- UK has a wide range of <u>independent</u> scientific advisory committees
- Process is monitored internally (GO Science) and externally (Royal Society and others)

However:

- Evidence-based policy implementation is not the same as evidence-based policy development
- Europe, not UK is the origin of most current "land and water use" legislation
- Considerable uncertainty in Europe about what regulation is for; management of risk or management of risk perception.

THE FORESIGHT PROCESS: A WAY FORWARD?

- 10-20 year Horizon
- Topic-defined (e.g. Food Security; Land Use)
- Very wide pool of experts consulted; central co-ordinating committee, lots of challenge
- Operation independent of Government
- All outputs published; very evidence-based
- How can we promote the process within Europe to influence future policy?

CONCLUSIONS

- R&D is an integral part of a successful land use sector
- Despite many challenges, "production-oriented" R&D remains alive but needs to be managed more effectively
- A co-ordinated "producer voice" needs to be deployed more effectively to help drive the agenda
- Production R&D does not yet integrate well with R&D on environmental and social issues
- I have significant worries that the European policy environment is and will remain a barrier to progress
- Is this the next "crusade" for science?